Emission Changes Resulting from the San Pedro Bay, California Ports Truck Retirement Program
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ABSTRACT: Recent U.S. Environmental Protection Agency emissions regulations have resulted in lower emissions of particulate matter and oxides of nitrogen from heavy-duty diesel trucks. To accelerate fleet turnover the State of California in 2008 along with the Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach (San Pedro Bay Ports) in 2006 passed regulations establishing timelines forcing the retirement of older diesel trucks. On-road emissions measurements of heavy-duty diesel trucks were collected over a three-year period, beginning in 2008, at a Port of Los Angeles location and an inland weigh station on the Riverside freeway (CA SR91). At the Port location the mean fleet age decreased from 12.7 years in April of 2008 to 2.5 years in May of 2010 with significant reductions in carbon monoxide (30%), oxides of nitrogen (48%) and infrared opacity (a measure of particulate matter, 54%). We also observed a 20-fold increase in ammonia emissions as a result of new, stoichiometrically combusted, liquefied natural gas powered trucks. These results compare with changes at our inland site where the average ages were 7.9 years in April of 2008 and 8.3 years in April of 2010, with only small reductions in oxides of nitrogen (10%) being statistically significant. Both locations have experienced significant increases in nitrogen dioxide emissions from new trucks equipped with diesel particle filters; raising the mean nitrogen dioxide to oxides of nitrogen ratios from less than 10% to more than 30% at the Riverside freeway location.

INTRODUCTION

Since 1998 when the State of California declared diesel particulate matter (DPM) a toxic air contaminant, a number of California and Federal regulations to reduce DPM have been put into law with notable reductions.1–4 Despite the progress, growth in diesel vehicle activity has outpaced the reductions to where they are now estimated by official government inventories to be the dominant mobile source for both oxides of nitrogen (NOx) and fine particulate matter (PM).5 This has led to additional Federal regulations beginning with 2007 model year diesel engines which further reduces the national DPM limit to 0.01 g/bhp-hr in concert with a transition to a 0.2 g/bhp-hr standard for NOx emissions after 2010.6–8 The large reductions mandated by these standards, an order of magnitude reduction in the case of DPM, will likely require manufacturers to introduce new aftertreatment devices such as diesel particle filters (DPF) and selective catalytic reduction (SCR) systems. Since the service life of a heavy-duty diesel vehicle (HDDV) can be lengthy, delaying the benefits of the new standards, the state of California and some local California agencies have enacted additional requirements to speed up the fleet penetration of these lower emitting trucks by forcing the retirement of pre-2007 HDDVs.

In the life cycle of a HDDV the shuttling of freight over short distances has traditionally been their last job before the scrap yard. The Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach, California were no exception with a HDDV fleet that in 2005 averaged 12.7 years of age and were estimated to be responsible for approximately 9.5% and 6.25% of the PM and NOx produced in the entire South Coast Air Basin.9,10 Combined, these two ports are the largest in the U.S. and the sixth busiest in the world and in 2006 they approved the San Pedro Bay Ports Clean Air Action Plan (CAAP).11,12 The CAAP addressed all of the various port related air pollution sources with specific reduction goals for PM, NOx, and sulfur oxides.13 This paper will specifically examine the emission changes in the on-road HDDV fleet as the forced retirement mandate of the CAAP is implemented at the Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach.

Since the Port authority controls access to all of the berths, the CAAP specified a timeline by which only newer, and lower-emitting HDDVs operated by approved concessionaires would be allowed access. This changed the business model at the Port from largely a collection of independent owner-operators to registered concessionaires who are responsible for vehicle maintenance, insurance, security, and safety measures. In addition the CAAP set a 50% government grant funding goal to encourage the...
purchase of new vehicles that are powered by alternatives to diesel fuel.\textsuperscript{13}

The CAAP mirrors many of the statewide California replacement requirements but on an accelerated time schedule. Beginning on October 1, 2008 all class 8 trucks (GVWR 33 001 lbs and greater) with pre-1989 engines were banned from entering the Port. This was followed by a January 1, 2010 ban for class 8 trucks with engines manufactured before 1994, and any remaining 1994 to 2003 class 8 trucks had to be retrofitted with aftertreatment devices to meet an 85% reduction in PM emissions and a 25% NO\textsubscript{2} reduction standard. Finally on January 1, 2012 all class 8 trucks that do not meet the 2007 Federal emission standards will be banned from the Port.\textsuperscript{13}

To help in the rapid fleet turnover a fee program was instituted that charges the concessionaires, and any infrequent (less than 24 moves per year) or out-of-state operators, according to a specific schedule for each loaded container they move.\textsuperscript{14} The proceeds of this fee are then routed back in major subsidies for concessionaires to purchase new trucks that meet the 2007 Federal emission standards. Concessionaires had to meet a number of requirements to receive the subsidies including proof that the truck being replaced has been in use at the Port for the past two years, and that the truck would be turned in to the Port to be scrapped.\textsuperscript{15} Grants totaling $67 million have been approved for the purchase of diesel and liquefied natural gas (LNG) trucks, and it is estimated that an additional $600 million in private investment has been contributed toward the purchase of more than 6600 trucks as of August 2010.\textsuperscript{16}

Previous research on light-duty vehicle emissions where measurements were repeatedly collected from the same location during the same time of the year have proved effective for documenting fleet emission trends.\textsuperscript{17} Using a similar approach this paper reports on a series of three campaigns made since 2008 at two locations in the South Coast Air Basin of Southern California to investigate HDDV emissions trends and in specific results of the truck retirement program at the Ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach.

**EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

Located within the South Coast Air Basin of California, the two emissions measurement sites were chosen to yield complementary, but not identical, fleet emissions data to compare and to contrast any emission differences as a result of fleet changes. At the Port of Los Angeles the measurements were conducted on lane #1 at the Water St. exit gate for TRAPAC Inc. container operations (berths 135–139) near the intersection of Fries Ave. and Water Street in Wilmington, CA. The trucks were measured as they accelerated away from the exit checkpoint (0\textdegree grade) in a low speed, high load operating mode. The inland site was the Peralta weigh station, operated by the California Highway Patrol, located on the eastbound side of the Riverside Freeway (California State Route 91) just west of exit 39 (Weir Canyon Rd.). The trucks’ emissions were sampled after the scales in a single lane while they were accelerating up a slight incline (1.8\textdegree grade) regaining speed to reenter the freeway. We had previously collected emissions data from this location in 1997.\textsuperscript{18}

A University of Denver-developed remote vehicle exhaust sensor, named Fuel Efficiency Automobile Test (FEAT), which has been extensively described in the literature, collected the HDDV emission measurements for this study.\textsuperscript{19–21} The instrument has a light source and four nondispersive infrared (NDIR) detectors capable of monitoring carbon monoxide (CO), carbon dioxide (CO\textsubscript{2}), and hydrocarbons (HC), which are paired with two dispersive ultraviolet (UV) spectrometers that measure nitric oxide (NO), sulfur dioxide (SO\textsubscript{2}), ammonia (NH\textsubscript{3}), and nitrogen dioxide (NO\textsubscript{2}). The dual element light source is composed of a silicon carbide gas drier igniter which supplies the infrared wavelengths while a xenon arc lamp provides the necessary UV wavelengths. The sensor measures only vehicle exhaust gases as a ratio to exhaust CO\textsubscript{2} because the path length of the plume is unknown. These molar ratios can be converted into fuel-specific emissions of grams of pollutant per kilogram of fuel burned by carbon balance using the molecular weight of each species and the fuels’ carbon mass fraction, after adjusting the HC/CO\textsubscript{2} ratio to account for the poor quantification of certain hydrocarbon species by NDIR absorption.\textsuperscript{22,23} By scaling the HC/CO\textsubscript{2} ratio as reported by Singer et al., the fuel specific HC emissions reported by the FEAT are comparable to a measurement reported with a flame ionization detector.\textsuperscript{22} For diesel fuel we used a scaling factor of 2 and a carbon mass fraction of 0.86 and for natural gas we used a scaling factor of 3.13 and a carbon mass fraction of 0.75. IR %opacity readings report the reduction of IR signal caused by exhaust soot particles, as measured at the IR reference wavelength (3.9 \mu m), correlated to exhaust CO\textsubscript{2}. Reductions in fleet average IR %opacity are proportional to reductions in the fuel based soot mass and number emissions only to the extent that the observed diesel soot has the same size distribution and optical properties over the measurement period. The proportionality constant is not well-known but the data suggest an IR %opacity of 0.5% corresponds to between 0.5 and 2 g of soot/kg of fuel.

Quality assurance calibrations were performed in the field at least twice daily and at other times as dictated by the atmospheric conditions using three certified gas mixtures (Scott Specialty Gases, Longmont, CO) containing 6% CO, 0.6% propane, 6% CO\textsubscript{2}, 0.3% NO and 0.04% SO\textsubscript{2} in nitrogen; 0.05% NO\textsubscript{2} and 15% CO\textsubscript{2} in air; 0.1% NH\textsubscript{3} and 0.6% propane in nitrogen. We used dedicated copper tubing that had been passivated with the specific gas mixture from each calibration cylinder for all of the current measurements. These calibrations account for day-to-day variations in instrument sensitivity and variations in ambient CO\textsubscript{2} levels caused by atmospheric pressure and instrument path length.

The majority of HDDVs in the US have elevated exhaust stacks that require the remote sensing beam to be 4–4.5 m above the ground for sampling. This elevation was accomplished with the use of two, guy wire stabilized scaffolding towers on each side of the roadway that raised the source and detectors to the exhaust sampling height.\textsuperscript{18} Attached to the scaffolding were a pair of parallel infrared beams (Maxi-beam, Banner Industries) 1.83 m apart, located about 1.8 m above the roadway to measure the speed and acceleration of the trucks. A third infrared beam mounted on a tripod was used for detecting the front of the truck and triggering the 1s emission measurement. Figure 1 is a photograph showing the setup at the Peralta weigh station site. The locations of the scaffolding and measurement beams were carefully marked to ensure that the sampling was conducted at the same location each year. A freeze-frame video image of the front of each truck was recorded along with the emission measurements and the license plate number was used to retrieve nonpersonal vehicle data from the state registration records of...
Arizona, California, Illinois, Indiana (only in 2009), Oklahoma, Texas (only in 2010) and Washington (only in 2010).

U.S. emission regulations for HDDVs are enforced against the engine model year. This information can be obtained only from the emissions certification sticker on each vehicle’s engine. The information that is available from the state registration data provides only the chassis model year. When we report a vehicle model year it is a chassis model year though we often find that a HDDV engine is one year older than the chassis. The age results in this manuscript are obtained by subtracting the average chassis model year from the date of the measurement.

We carried out five days of emissions measurements at each of the sampling sites for three consecutive years (2008–2010). The exact dates of the measurements changed slightly from year to year as dictated by the schedule that the California Highway Patrol operated the Peralta weigh station. The emission measurements and vehicle registration information for each site were assembled into a final database for each year that will be available for download from our Web site at www.feat.biochem.du.edu.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1 lists the sampling dates, number of trucks, mean chassis model year, emissions with standard errors of the mean calculated from the daily means, and mean speed and acceleration. NOₓ emissions have been calculated by converting the measured gNO/kg into gNO₂/kg and summing with the measured gNO₂/kg emissions. The historical measurements collected in 1997 are less comprehensive than the current data sets with no model year information or speeds determined; the remote sensor used was our first generation nondispersive UV detector which did not measure NO₂. Figure 2 shows the year-over-year trends for NO, NO₂, NOₓ and IR %opacity for the two locations.

The differences in the base year (2008) NOₓ emission measurements between the two locations are likely the result of a higher load driving mode observed at the Port. At the Port the trucks are accelerating away from the last checkpoint after a complete stop (see Table 1 for operating differences). For the 2008 measurement year the mean NOₓ emissions at the Port are 66% higher. Some of that difference can be attributed to the older age of the Port’s fleet, but even after normalizing the Peralta fleets age to the Port fleet (1995.6 MY) the Port fleet still has 37% higher NOₓ emissions. When we compare the same trucks measured for all three years at both locations (44 trucks with 60 measurements at Peralta and 88 measurements at the Port, with a mean chassis model year of 2004.3) we also find higher NOₓ emissions at the Port (89% more) with the difference increasing for the newer model years. These all suggest that there is a driving mode penalty at the Port location resulting in higher NOₓ emissions which has to be considered when comparing the two fleets.

The historical comparison at Peralta shows emissions reductions between 1997 and 2010 for all of the species reported, CO (38%), HC (16%), NO (24%), and IR %opacity (72%). These trends are similar to those reported in the literature for HDDV CO, HC, and PM emissions during the 1990s while NOₓ emissions showed little change during this period. 3,4,24 The
Table 1. Summary of Measurement Dates, Vehicle Information, Fuel Specific Emissions and Standard Errors of the Mean

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Trucks (mean MY)</th>
<th>CO (g/kg)</th>
<th>HC (g/kg)</th>
<th>NO (g/kg)</th>
<th>NO_2 (g/kg)</th>
<th>NO (g/kg) / CO</th>
<th>IR %Opacity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Port of LA</td>
<td>2008 April</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.6</td>
<td>5.3 ± 0.6</td>
<td>27.1 ± 0.8</td>
<td>0.4/4.0</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.71 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2009 May</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>5.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.23 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2010 May</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>4.7 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.71 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peralta</td>
<td>1997 Aug.</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.05 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.02 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.05 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.03 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2009 April</td>
<td>7.0 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.71 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2010 April</td>
<td>10.0 ± 0.5</td>
<td>0.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.71 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*na means not available.

Grams of HC using the FID adjustments noted in the text. Grams of NO. Grams of NO_2. Kilometers per hour. Kilometers per hour/sec. %Opacity reductions are very similar to reported NO_x and black carbon reductions seen for a similar retirement and retrofit program at the Port of Oakland.23,24 For comparison, the HDDV fleet at the Peralta weigh station has seen an increase in the mean chassis age by 0.4 years with only a small decrease in NO_x (10%) and no statistically significant changes in the other species. At Peralta, 2008 and newer chassis model year vehicles made up only 14% of all measurements in 2010 a significant increase from 3% in 2008 but small compared to the port location.

The NO_x Federal emission standards for HDDV have been reduced approximately 75% (5 g/bhp-hr in 1995 to 1.2 g/bhp-hr in 2007) while the PM reductions of 90% are likely larger as the performance of DPF equipped HDDV have exceeded expectations.25 Our observed reductions in NO_x and IR %opacity at the Port location are less than a direct extrapolation of the regulations reductions and several factors likely contribute to these differences. Historically NO_x in-use emissions measurements have proven to show smaller reductions than one would predict from the regulations and that is likely the case here as our observed NO_x reductions are similar to other reported in-use measurements.26,27 Our IR %opacity method likely underestimates the true PM reductions at the Port location, though...
we believe correctly reports a significant improvement. Several difficulties for this technique are its limits in defining the Port’s baseline (2008) smoke levels as trucks with truly opaque plumes are difficult to measure at such low light levels and a potential zero set that will automatically reduce the measured benefit.

The reductions in the NOx and opacity emissions at the Port can best be illustrated by showing the changes in the emissions distributions over the last two years. Figure 3 is a plot of fleet fraction versus binned gNOx/kg for the two locations for measurement year 2008 (top panel) and year 2010 (bottom panel). Careful examination of the 5, 10, and 15 gNOx/kg bins shows that the HDDV emissions at Peralta have moved to lower levels during the two years as a result of fleet turnover, though these shifts are small compared to the changes at the Port. The fact that the final emissions distributions look similar at the two sites, despite the large reductions observed at the Port, is a consequence of the Port’s higher NOx driving mode as previously discussed. Figure 4 is a similar plot but for just the Port data, where fleet fraction is plotted versus binned IR %Opacity for the 2008 and 2010 measurement years. The fraction of trucks in the lowest two bins has nearly doubled with the majority of the high emitters being completely eliminated.

Previous emission trend studies of light-duty vehicles have shown that mean fleet model years generally track the elapsed time between the yearly measurements leaving the fleet age unchanged.17 At Peralta the average age increased 0.1 model years in 2009 and an additional 0.3 model years in 2010. The older observed fleet was likely a combination of the economic downturn and previous truck purchasing decisions. Diesel fuel sales in the State of California peaked in 2007 and dropped 8.3% in 2008 and an additional 8.7% in 2009 reflecting fewer HDDV on the road and likely translating to lower demand for new trucks.27 It is also apparent from our observed model year distributions that many companies moved up their purchasing decisions and purchased 2007 model year trucks (with pre-DPF equipped 2006 engines). The 2010 measured chassis model year fractions for Peralta showed that 2007 chassis model year trucks made up 12.7% of the fleet, by far the largest (2000 models were the next largest with 8.7%) model year fraction. The combination of prepurchases and the economic slowdown have resulted in a
Table 2. Age-Normalizeda 1995 to 2004 Chassis Model Year Mean Emissions and Standard Errors of the Mean

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Port of LA</th>
<th>Peralta Weigh Station</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>gNOx/kg (Counts)</td>
<td>gNOx/kg (Counts)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>45.0 ± 1.4 (913)</td>
<td>3.9 ± 0.5 (913)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2009</td>
<td>44.8 ± 1.6 (660)</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.3 (660)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2010b</td>
<td>47.8 ± 0.6 (117)</td>
<td>4.2 ± 0.3 (117)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>%change 2008 - 2010</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Fleet emissions have been normalized to match the model year distribution for the 2008 measurements at each measurement location. b Grams of NOx.

noticeable lag in the introduction of lower emitting trucks to the general California truck fleet and likely the entire country.

Figure 5 is a combined plot of the NO2/NOx mass ratios and standard errors of the mean for the three data sets from Peralta (open symbols) and the last two years data for the Port of LA (filled symbols). Many DPF regeneration strategies rely on the catalyzed creation of NO2 upstream of the particle filter for oxidation of trapped soot particles. Beginning with the 2008 chassis model year the NO2/NOx ratio increases significantly at both locations with the youngest vehicles for each model year having the highest ratios and then decreasing with filter age. At both locations, these increased ratios are a combined result of decreasing NOx emissions with large increases (more than double at Peralta) in NO2 emissions. The larger NO2/NOx ratios measured at Peralta may be a result of higher exhaust temperatures as NO2 formation in a DPF is temperature dependent. Because the Port has strict idling laws, drivers often turn off their engines while stopped at the exit station prior to our measurement location, unlike at Peralta where the trucks are constantly moving. Changes to the ambient NO2/NOx ratio in the South Coast Air Basin are of great interest as increases in this ratio have been estimated to increase local ozone formation.28 While some assume that 2010 compliant engines with SCR technologies will eliminate the excess NOx emissions, their on-road operation appears to be subject to certain temperature and other operating requirements.29,30 It therefore remains to be seen how these systems will cycle in real use and what the NOx/NO2 ratio will be during periods when the SCR is not operational.

The changes at the Port have not been without some unintended consequences. As part of the CAAP an effort was made to encourage the use of alternatives to diesel fuel with an increased subsidy (up to $100,000.00 which is double the subsidy for a new HDDV) for the purchase of alternative fueled vehicles.15 Currently that has resulted in a small population (about 6% of the fleet) of two types of LNG powered trucks. One type is spark ignited and the gas is combusted stoichiometrically, with a 3-way catalytic converter as part of its emissions control equipment and ground level exhaust.31 The other is a dual fuel truck that compression ignites the gas in a 2004 certified lean burn engine using a small injection of diesel fuel to ignite the mixture.32 Figure 6 is a graph that compares the mean gNOx/kg, gNH3/kg and opacity emissions of both types of LNG trucks against HDDV for the two measurement years that they have been in operation. The oldest HDDV, the 2007 and older models have the highest opacity measurements showing that both the DPF equipped HDDV (2008 and newer HDDV) and the LNG trucks have reduced particle emissions with most of the LNG group emission means being indistinguishable from zero. The gNOx/kg emissions for the LNG lean burn engine are between the older and newer diesel trucks in line with this engines certification standard suggesting that engine design standards and not the fuel used is a more important factor for reducing NOx emissions. The stoichiometric LNG trucks measured in this study have very large NH3 emissions along with elevated CO (54 versus 4 gCO/kg) and methane emissions (8.6 versus 3.4 gHC/kg which negates some of LNG’s greenhouse gas benefit) compared with the newest (2008 and newer) HDDV. From a purely emissions comparison standpoint the extra expense for a LNG trucks versus a DPF equipped diesel does not appear to be justified.

Vehicle NH3 emissions result from reduction of NOx in the presence of a reducing catalysis and available hydrogen (often the limiting reagent) and have long been known to occur in the light-duty gasoline fleet.33 The gNH3/kg levels observed at the Port location for the catalytically equipped, stoichiometrically operating LNG trucks measured in this study are eight to nine times those reported for current light-duty California fleets and due to the reactive nature of NH3 likely offset some of the benefits of low primary particle emissions.35,36 Corroboration of the Port measurements was found in five refuse trucks operating at our Peralta location in 2009. The refuse trucks used the same LNG engine as the Port trucks, but with an elevated exhaust stack that provided more concentrated plumes to measure. While the NH3 emissions were lower at Peralta, likely owing to the lower load as previously discussed, these values are still almost five times those of the light-duty fleet.

As these trucks’ catalysts age, one would expect the reduction capabilities to decrease, increasing NOx emissions and decreasing ammonia. The 2010 data qualitatively show this with an increase in NOx accompanied by a decrease in NH3 but after only one year, these differences are not statistically significant. As there are no Federal or California regulations that limit NH3 emissions from motor vehicles, this currently is a benefit to the manufacturers allowing these engines to meet the most stringent 2010 NOx emissions limit without additional aftertreatment.

A second unintended consequence involved the December 2011, 2010 EPA deadline that left truck owners with the apparent choice to upgrade to new vehicles, retrofit older trucks with 1994-2003 model year engines gaining two additional years of service life or move operations. Most appear to have chosen replacement; however, we are aware of three vehicles registered in California in 2008 that showed up in measurements made at the Port of Houston in July of 2009 now registered in Texas. Table 2 provides the age normalized (to the 2008 measurements model year distribution) mean emission measurements for chassis model year 1995-2004 vehicles at our two measurement locations. At the Port location, close to 90% of these vehicles no longer show up at our measurement site, whereas the remaining
vehicles have emissions that do not meet the targeted retrofit reductions. The opacity measurements show significant reductions (~29%) but they are less than half of those observed for the 2008 and newer trucks which the retrofits are designed to be comparable to. In addition the Port trucks show increases in both NO\textsubscript{2} and total NO\textsubscript{X} emissions, not reductions as mandated in the CAAP.\textsuperscript{28}

In reality very few 1995–2004 Port trucks at the Port have installed a California Air Resources Board approved retrofit device. The enforceable regulations specifically only required class 8 trucks to be retrofit.\textsuperscript{8} Of the 117 measurements in 2010 at the port, 97 of them were from class 7 trucks that are exempt from the retrofit requirements with only 11 measurements coming from compliant class 8 trucks. The class 7 trucks are new to this measurement site with only 2 of the 45 unique trucks having a previous measurement record. While the retrofit rules have been recently extended by the State of California and the Ports to close this loophole, the end result is that a few clever owners were able to gain two additional years of service without the added expense of installing a retrofit device and the Ports missed out on the additional emission benefits.\textsuperscript{37}
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